

Here's What Each Of Biden's 42 Executive Orders Will Actually Do

Ian Haworth



Jim Watson/AFP via Getty Images

Speaking with George Stephanopolous — former White House Communications Director under Bill Clinton — on October 15, 2020, Joe Biden described the use of executive orders as dictatorial.

“I have this strange notion. We are a democracy. Some of my Republican friends and some of my Democratic friends even say: well if you can't get the votes, by executive order you're going to do something, things you can't do by executive order unless you're a dictator.”

Biden also added, “We're a democracy. We need consensus.”

As president, Biden's behavior since entering office is — by his own definition — dictatorial. In his first seven days in office, President Biden signed more executive orders than President Bill Clinton, President George W. Bush, and President Barack Obama averaged per year. In less than two weeks, Biden [has](#) “already issued nearly as many executive action as Trump and Obama did in the same period, combined.”

Here is a [list](#) explaining what each of Biden's 42 executive orders — all signed within 12 days of his Inauguration — actually mean:

- **‘Executive Order on Strengthening Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act.’** Directs federal agencies to look to expand access to Obamacare, with the long-term goal of achieving socialized medicine.
- **‘Memorandum on Protecting Women's Health at Home and Abroad.’** Rescinds the “Mexico City Policy,” providing U.S. taxpayer funding for foreign abortions.

- ***‘Executive Order on Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad.’*** Ceases “new oil and natural gas leases on public lands [and] in offshore waters,” thereby destroying energy jobs.
- ***‘Executive Order on Establishing President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology.’*** Reestablishes the “President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology.”
- ***‘Memorandum on Restoring Trust in Government Through Scientific Integrity and Evidence-Based Policy making.’*** Commits to making “evidence-based decisions guided by the best available science and data.”
- ***‘Paris Climate Agreement.’*** Rejoins the Paris climate accord.
- ***‘Executive Order on Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis.’*** Cancels the Keystone XL pipeline, destroying more energy jobs.
- ***‘Executive Order On Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government.’*** Rescinds the 1776 Commission, and embraces the Black Lives Matter and 1619 Project narrative.
- ***‘Executive Order on Preventing and Combating Discrimination on the Basis of Gender Identity or Sexual Orientation.’*** Prevents workplace discrimination based on gender identity or sexual orientation, and promotes transgender access to restrooms, locker rooms, and school sports.
- ***‘Memorandum Condemning and Combating Racism, Xenophobia, and Intolerance Against Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders in the United States.’*** Directs Department of Health and Human Services to investigate ways to improve “cultural competency, language access and sensitivity toward AAPIs” as part of the federal government’s COVID-19 response.
- ***‘Executive Order on Reforming Our Incarceration System to Eliminate the Use of Privately Operated Criminal Detention Facilities.’*** Calls for the end of private prisons.
- ***‘Memorandum on Redressing Our Nation’s and the Federal Government’s History of Discriminatory Housing Practices and Policies.’*** Directs the Department of Housing and Urban Development to “review” the Trump administration’s policy impact on “fair housing.”
- ***‘Memorandum on Tribal Consultation and Strengthening Nation-to-Nation Relationships.’*** Calls for federal agencies to “engage in regular, robust and meaningful consultation with Tribal governments.”
- ***‘Executive Order on Enabling All Qualified Americans to Serve Their Country in Uniform.’*** Ends “transgender ban” in the military, which will restore the ability for transgender service members to receive taxpayer-funded medical services for the “transition process.”

- ***‘Executive Order on Ensuring the Future Is Made in All of America by All of America’s Workers.’*** Continues existing policies and attitudes regarding “Buy American” rules.
- ***‘Executive Order on Protecting the Federal Workforce.’*** First step in attempting to enforce a national \$15 minimum wage.
- ***‘Executive Order on Economic Relief Related to the COVID-19 Pandemic.’*** “Calls for assistance to those who are struggling to buy food, missed out on stimulus checks or are unemployed.” No funding is provided, and the order simply directs federal agencies to “promptly identify actions they can take within existing authorities to address the current economic crisis.”
- ***‘Pausing Federal Student Loan Payments.’*** Extends the pause on student loan repayments and interest for those with federal student loans until at least September 30.
- ***‘Extend Eviction and Foreclosure Moratoriums.’*** Extends the existing nationwide moratorium on evictions and foreclosures until at least March 31.
- ***‘Proclamation on the Suspension of Entry as Immigrants and Non-Immigrants of Certain Additional Persons Who Pose a Risk of Transmitting Coronavirus Disease.’*** Enforces a travel ban on countries including Brazil, the United Kingdom, and South Africa.
- ***‘Memorandum to Extend Federal Support to Governors’ Use of the National Guard to Respond to COVID-19 and to Increase Reimbursement and Other Assistance Provided to States.’*** Expands federal reimbursement to states for the federal use of the National Guard.
- ***‘Executive Order on a Sustainable Public Health Supply Chain.’*** Substantively empty order which “directs immediate actions to secure supplies necessary for responding to the pandemic,” which simply calls for reviews and assessments.
- ***‘Executive Order on Establishing the COVID-19 Pandemic Testing Board and Ensuring a Sustainable Public Health Workforce for COVID-19 and Other Biological Threats.’*** Looks to expand testing capabilities through a federal vaccination strategy.
- ***‘Executive Order on Improving and Expanding Access to Care and Treatments for COVID-19.’*** Calls for the development of a plan for studying intervention methods and therapeutics regarding COVID-19.
- ***‘Executive Order on Ensuring a Data-Driven Response to COVID-19 and Future High-Consequence Public Health Threats.’*** Calls for all executive departments and agencies to “facilitate the gathering, sharing, and publication of COVID-19-related data.”
- ***‘Create more vaccination sites.’*** Instructs FEMA to create more federal vaccination centers.

- ***‘Executive Order on Supporting the Reopening and Continuing Operation of Schools and Early Childhood Education Providers.’*** Calls for guidance regarding the reopening and operation of schools, child care providers and higher education institutions.
- ***‘Executive Order on Protecting Worker Health and Safety.’*** Requests workplace guidance regarding COVID-19.
- ***‘Executive Order on Promoting COVID-19 Safety in Domestic and International Travel.’*** Requires masks to be worn in airports and on certain other modes of transportation. International travelers must provide proof of negative COVID-19 test before entering the US.
- ***‘Executive Order on Ensuring an Equitable Pandemic Response and Recovery.’*** Citing that “people of color experience systemic and structural racism in many facets of our society and are more likely to become sick and die from COVID-19,” calls for the prioritization of “health equity.”
- ***‘National Security Directive on United States Global Leadership to Strengthen the International COVID-19 Response and to Advance Global Health Security and Biological Preparedness.’*** Meaningless platitude, promising to “treat epidemic and pandemic preparedness, health security, and global health as top national security priorities, and will work with other nations to combat COVID-19 and seek to create a world that is safe and secure from biological threats.”
- ***‘Executive Order on Protecting the Federal Workforce and Requiring Mask-Wearing.’*** Launches a “100 Days Masking Challenge,” and requires masking and physical distancing in federal buildings.
- ***‘Letter to His Excellency António Guterres.’*** Addresses “His Excellency” Guterres — a Portuguese socialist and the Secretary-General of the United Nations — and stops the United States’ withdrawal from the World Health Organization, and places Dr. Anthony Fauci as the head of the delegation to the WHO.
- ***‘Executive Order on Organizing and Mobilizing the United States Government to Provide a Unified and Effective Response to Combat COVID-19 and to Provide United States Leadership on Global Health and Security.’*** Creates one job, the position of COVID-19 response coordinator, who will report directly to Biden and manage vaccine production and distribution efforts.
- ***‘Executive Order on Ensuring a Lawful and Accurate Enumeration and Apportionment Pursuant to the Decennial Census.’*** Requires that non-citizens (both legal and illegal) must be included in the census and appointment of congressional representatives.
- ***‘Preserving and Fortifying Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals.’*** Strengthens the DACA program.
- ***‘Proclamation on Ending Discriminatory Bans on Entry to the United States.’*** Reverses the Trump administration’s travel restrictions imposed on countries from which travelers could not be adequately investigated or screened.

- **‘Executive Order on the Revision of Civil Immigration Enforcement Policies and Priorities.’** Reverses Trump’s expansion of immigration enforcement.
- **‘Proclamation on the Termination of Emergency With Respect to the Southern Border of the United States and Redirection of Funds Diverted to Border Wall Construction.’** Stops the construction of the border wall between the US and Mexico.
- **‘Reinstating Deferred Enforced Departure for Liberians.’** Extends deferrals of deportation and work authorizations for Liberians with a haven in the United States until June 30, 2022.
- **‘Executive Order on Ethics Commitments by Executive Branch Personnel.’** Requires executive branch appointees to sign an ethics pledge barring them from acting in personal interest and requiring them to uphold the independence of the Department of Justice.
- **‘Modernizing Regulatory Review.’** Calls for the development of recommendations to modernize regulatory review.

Ian Haworth is an Editor and Writer for The Daily Wire. Follow him on Twitter at [@ighaworth](#).

The views expressed in this piece are the author’s own and do not necessarily represent those of The Daily Wire.

Con đường rải dây lông ngỗng của Bác sĩ Anthony Fauci Tâm Minh

Bác sĩ Anthony Fauci nói chuyện cùng Tổng thống Donald Trump trong cuộc họp báo tại Washington vào ngày 5/4/2020

Người dẫn chương trình Steve Hilton của Fox News đã chia sẻ một cuộc điều tra lộ ra nguồn gốc của coronavirus, và không chỉ liên hệ nó trở lại với nghiên cứu được ủy quyền của Hoa Kỳ, mà còn với bác sĩ Anthony Fauci. Câu chuyện của bác sĩ Anthony Fauci khiến chúng ta không khỏi kinh ngạc thốt lên: Ngài Trump! Kẻ thù ở ngay sau lưng ông đó!

Người dẫn chương trình "[Cuộc cách mạng tiếp theo](#)" trên Fox News, Steve Hilton, tuyên bố người xem của ông rằng các bằng chứng mà ông điều tra được đã "chỉ ra nguyên nhân thuyết phục nhất, có khả năng nhất của đại dịch và nó tồi tệ hơn bất cứ điều gì mà chúng ta đã từng nghe thấy cho đến nay."

Nghiên cứu đáng sợ và tiền tài trợ của Anthony Fauci cho Viện nghiên cứu Vũ Hán về việc tạo ra Coronavirus

Nhấn mạnh một nghiên cứu cách đây 10 năm tại Hà Lan liên quan đến chồn, loài có hệ hô hấp hoạt động tương tự như con người, ông Hilton cho biết các nhà nghiên cứu "đang cố gắng xem liệu virus khi bắt đầu chưa có khả năng lây truyền qua không khí cuối cùng lại có thể đạt được khả năng đó hay không".

"Điều này dẫn đến việc tạo ra một loại nghiên cứu khoa học hoàn toàn mới có thể thực hiện được bằng những tiến bộ trong công nghệ gen", ông nói. "Nó được gọi là nghiên cứu virus cây tạo thêm chức năng [tạo thêm đặc tính, chức năng cho virus trong phòng thí nghiệm]. Ý tưởng là để nhân rộng trong phòng thí nghiệm những gì đã được thực hiện với những con chồn này. Người ta muốn lấy được con virus đó và điều khiển mã di truyền làm cho nó khỏe hơn và có tính năng mạnh hơn. Con virus sẽ có được chức năng mới, do đó nó có tên như vậy."

Thuyết phục cho việc tạo ra các loại virus chết người dễ lây lan nhất trong điều kiện phòng thí nghiệm, những người làm thí nghiệm nói rằng "để tìm hiểu về phương pháp điều trị và vắc xin trước tai họa của một ổ dịch thực tế" ông Hilton lưu ý.

Nghiên cứu này được thực hiện trên thế giới, tại Vũ Hán, Trung Quốc là nơi có một phòng thí nghiệm hàng đầu, được tài trợ một phần bởi Viện Dị ứng và Bệnh truyền nhiễm Quốc gia, đứng đầu là Tiến sĩ Anthony Fauci. Nguy cơ lớn là nếu một loại virus có khả năng lây lan cao được tìm cách đưa thoát ra khỏi phòng thí nghiệm, thì nó có thể gây ra tai họa - giống hệt như thế giới đang nhìn thấy COVID-19 ngày hôm nay.

Hilton chia sẻ rằng chính phủ Obama đã ra lệnh dừng nghiên cứu gây tranh cãi này. "Bất chấp những tranh cãi xung quanh loại nghiên cứu này," ông nói, "nó luôn luôn được ủng hộ bởi một nhà vô địch rất kiên định, một nhân vật hàng đầu trong thế giới của các bệnh truyền nhiễm, Anthony Fauci. Viện của ông ta đã tài trợ cho nghiên cứu trên chồn đầu tiên. Vào thời điểm đó ông ta cũng đồng thời viết bài trên Washington Post mô tả nghiên cứu này như là "một rủi ro đáng chấp nhận ... thông tin quan trọng và tác dụng có thể đến từ việc tạo ra một loại virus nguy hiểm tiềm tàng trong phòng thí nghiệm."

"Ngay trước lệnh cấm của Chính phủ Obama về nghiên cứu cây, tạo chức năng virus trong 2014, bác sĩ Fauci đã đặt làm ... một dự án để đánh giá nguy cơ coronavirus mới xuất hiện từ động vật hoang dã như dơi - một trong những mục đích là để xem virus có thể lây nhiễm cho động vật và con người như thế nào," ông Hilton nói.

Người dẫn chương trình của Fox News nói rằng trong khi chính quyền Obama dừng việc nghiên cứu, ông Fauci "tiếp tục nghiên cứu bằng cách ký hợp đồng thầu phụ" với Liên minh EcoHealth có trụ sở tại New York, Mỹ.

Chia sẻ những gì ông gọi là một "bước quan trọng mà chưa bao giờ được báo cáo," Hilton lưu ý rằng EcoHealth Alliance sau đó lại ký hợp đồng thầu phụ về phần dự án cây, tạo thêm chức năng này với Viện nghiên cứu Virus Vũ Hán.



Phòng thí nghiệm P4 tại Viện Virus học Vũ Hán ở Vũ Hán, tỉnh Hồ Bắc, Trung Quốc, vào ngày 17/4/2020. (Hector Retamal / AFP / Getty Images)

Trích dẫn một bài báo mô tả chi tiết một báo cáo tiến độ về nghiên cứu này từ người đứng đầu phòng thí nghiệm Vũ Hán, ông Hilton đã chỉ ra một đường đi của dòng tiền, mà đích đến chính là vai trò chủ đạo của bác sĩ lừng danh trong cuộc chiến chống đại dịch Coronavirus tại Mỹ, ông Anthony Fauci.

"Cho đến nay, báo cáo duy nhất về vai trò của Tiến sĩ Fauci trong tất cả sự việc này là tài trợ của ông ta cho phòng thí nghiệm Vũ Hán nói chung," Hilton nói. "Nhưng tới nay chúng ta có thể tiến xa hơn nhờ tài liệu này. Chúng ta có thể thấy các hoạt động cụ thể mà bác sĩ Fauci tài trợ và nó đáng sợ - rất đáng sợ đến mức mà sau khi chúng tôi tiếp cận được với NIH, thì tài liệu này đã được bí ẩn gỡ xuống khỏi mạng vào ngày thứ sáu trong một thời gian. Nhưng chúng tôi đã kịp tải nó xuống vài tuần trước."

Ông Hilton đề cập một cách chi tiết việc các nhà nghiên cứu thu thập các mẫu phân đơi từ một hang động trong một tỉnh ở Trung Quốc và tìm thấy nhiều chủng coronavirus mới, và tạo ra những loại virus mới biến đổi gen trong phòng thí nghiệm.

"Họ đã tiêm nhiễm chúng vào các tế bào của con người trong phòng thí nghiệm. Và sau đó họ cho thấy virus nhân tạo của họ có thể sao chép như một loại virus chức năng", Hilton nói.

"Đây là phân quan trọng nhất, những thay đổi gen [trên virus] mà họ thực hiện trong phòng thí nghiệm đã đánh thông được một con đường cụ thể rất mạnh giúp virus nhiễm vào cơ thể con người. Virus gây ra COVID-19 sử dụng chính xác con đường đó [con đường khám phá ra trong nghiên cứu được tài trợ bởi bác sĩ Fauci]. Rõ ràng là, không có virus biến đổi gen nào được tạo ra vào năm 2017 và được báo cáo trong tài liệu này chính xác là những virus mà chúng ta đang đối mặt hiện nay, nhưng những gì họ xác nhận là có thể tạo ra virus COVID-19 bằng cách sử dụng kỹ thuật được phát triển trong dự án của Fauci."

Một dự án tiếp tục trong ba năm nữa.

So sánh COVID-19 với virus được sản xuất trong phòng thí nghiệm Vũ Hán, ông Hilton cho biết virus đại dịch "trông giống như sự kết hợp của hai loại virus của dơi khác nhau từ một loài hoàn toàn khác".

Ông đã phủ nhận tuyên truyền của Trung Quốc rằng virus này có nguồn gốc tự nhiên. Ông Hilton nói "Nhiều loài dơi khác nhau ở miền nam Trung Quốc sẽ phải lây nhiễm lẫn nhau, và một số loài động vật không rõ khác, và rằng một trong hai loài động vật hoặc một người bị nhiễm bệnh sẽ cần phải đi 1000 dặm mà không ảnh hưởng đến bất cứ ai cho đến khi họ đã đến Vũ Hán,".

"Chúng tôi đang không ý nói rằng coronavirus được tạo ra hoặc thả ra như một vũ khí sinh học", ông nhấn mạnh. "Nhưng tai nạn phòng thí nghiệm xảy ra - đây cũng chính là lý do chính phủ Obama đã dừng nghiên cứu này."

Ông Hilton cho biết ông Matt Pottinger, cựu phó cố vấn an ninh quốc gia của Tổng thống Trump, đã nói riêng với một nhóm quan chức Anh rằng "một nhóm bằng chứng đang ngày càng tăng ủng hộ ý kiến rằng con virus đã rò rỉ ra từ phòng thí nghiệm Vũ Hán".

Ngài Richard Dearlove, cựu lãnh đạo Cơ quan Tình báo Mật vụ Anh MI6, cũng từng khẳng định điều tương tự về việc coronavirus bị rò rỉ khỏi phòng thí nghiệm Vũ Hán bởi một "tai nạn": "Đó là một người được sắp đặt để trốn thoát khỏi viện Vũ Hán, tôi không nói bất cứ điều gì khác hơn là thực tế ... là kết quả của một tai nạn."

Ông Biden tiếp tục "tín nhiệm" bác sĩ Anthony Fauci

Sau khi nhấn mạnh quý vị đang chơi với lửa khi sửa đổi chức năng một loại virus của dơi đến mức nó có thể lây lan từ người sang người trong không khí, Ông Hilton hỏi, "Tại sao lại có chuyện tiến sĩ Fauci đã không ngăn chặn nó ngay sau khi lệnh cấm Obama đã được công bố trở lại trong 2014?"

"Trả lời từ cơ sở y tế này thật là đáng kinh ngạc", ông nói thêm. "Thay vì cố gắng tìm ra những gì đã xảy ra, họ đã được thúc đẩy để che đậy nó lại."

Nói về bằng chứng cho thấy Hoa Kỳ, dưới vai trò của Fauci, đã đặt làm nghiên cứu sản xuất virus COVID-19, Ông Hilton kêu gọi chuyên gia về bệnh truyền nhiễm này "từ chức".

"Trong tuần này, Tổng thống Biden đã cử bác sĩ Fauci thành cố vấn y tế trưởng của mình với vai trò được nâng cao hơn", Hilton kết luận. "Trong ánh sáng của các tài liệu và bằng chứng chúng tôi trình bày tối nay, vai trò đó là hoàn toàn không thể giữ lại được. Fauci phải từ chức cho đến khi chúng ta đi đến tận cùng của việc tạo ra, vô tình tất nhiên, đại dịch toàn cầu thảm khốc."

Việc tín nhiệm của chính quyền ông Biden với bác sĩ Anthony Fauci không có gì đáng ngạc nhiên khi chúng ta nhìn lại khoảng thời gian ông Fauci sát cánh bên cựu tổng thống Donald Trump chiến đấu với bệnh dịch Covid-19. Dưới đây là một ví dụ nhỏ cho thấy ông Fauci đã "khởi tác dụng" hỗ trợ ông Biden như thế nào trong suốt thời gian sát cánh cùng ông Trump:

Ngày 18/5/2020: Tổng thống Donald J. Trump tuyên bố thuốc chống sốt rét [Hydroxychloroquine \(sử dụng kèm thuốc kẽm\) có tác dụng phòng ngừa và chữa trị coronavirus.](#)

Ngày 19/05/2020: Bà Nancy Pelosi, Chủ tịch Hạ viện Mỹ, lên CNN tuyên bố rằng thuốc [Hydroxychloroquine có hại](#), và không ngừng tranh thủ tháo mạ trí tuệ và nhân cách của tổng thống đương nhiệm Mỹ khi đó.

Ngày 29/7/2020: *Cố vấn của chính quyền tổng thống Trump về bệnh dịch, bác sĩ [Anthony Fauci tuyên bố rằng thuốc Hydroxychloroquine không có tác dụng trong việc chữa, phòng chống Covid-19](#); tuyên bố đưa ra chỉ 1 ngày sau khi Tổng thống đương nhiệm lúc đó tuyên bố rằng ông vẫn tin là Hydroxychloroquine khởi tác dụng tốt chữa trị Covid-19. Ông Fauci còn nói trước truyền thông rằng dữ liệu khoa học chỉ ra nhận định đó của ông ta.*

Ngày 22/1/2021: *chỉ hai ngày sau khi ông Biden bước vào Nhà trắng, đơn thuốc từng bị truyền thông phỉ báng, ông Fauci gạt bỏ dưới danh nghĩa khoa học của tổng thống tiền nhiệm Trump, [được chấp nhận trở lại](#).*

Tạp chí Y học Hoa Kỳ (tháng 1 năm 2021) hiện khuyến cáo Hydroxychloroquine, Azithromycin và Kẽm hữu ích trong việc điều trị Covid 19 bệnh nhân ngoại trú. Điều trớ trêu là đây là phương thuốc mà cựu Tổng thống Mỹ, Donald Trump đã thúc đẩy vào năm ngoái nhưng nó chỉ được công nhận sau khi ông đã bị hạ bệ.

Tâm Minh

Joe Biden's Choice To Oversee COVID Unemployment Benefits Lost \$600 Million In Coronavirus Relief To Nigerian Scammers
Ashe Schow



Drew Angerer/Getty Images

The woman picked by President Joe Biden to oversee the federal unemployment benefits that are part of the president's \$1.9 trillion coronavirus relief proposal previously lost hundreds of millions of dollars in coronavirus relief funds to Nigerian scammers.

Bloomberg Law [reported](#) that Washington state Employment Security Department (ESD) Commissioner Suzi LeVine on Monday began her job as "interim political

head of the Employment and Training Administration,” the federal workforce agency that helps oversee unemployment benefits in the coronavirus relief bills.

As The Federalist [reported](#), LeVine’s history in Washington state make her ill-suited for the position, considering that her department lost \$600 million in coronavirus relief funds to a long-known Nigerian fraud group known as “Scattered Canary.”

“According to the U.S. Secret Service, Washington was the ‘primary state targeted’ by the ‘well-organized Nigerian fraud ring exploiting the COVID-19 crisis to commit large-scale fraud against state unemployment insurance programs.’ The group, which historically targeted other American aid funds such as for students, Social Security, and disaster relief, used information from other breaches in Washington to create bogus joblessness claims and hike up the system’s number of claims,” the Federalist reported.

“Under LeVine, ESD, overwhelmed with a sudden and ‘unusual’ surge in state requests for financial help despite the falling national number, quickly pushed through the payouts for the claims, intentionally and knowingly skipping the identity verification processes that usually occurs during an unemployment payout ‘waiting week.’ As a result, LeVine later reluctantly admitted the fraud and temporarily suspended all benefit payments for a couple of days, completely cutting off legitimate claimants as the department and law enforcement began the financial cleanup and attempted recovery from the bogus claims.”

Washington conducted five audits of the missing fund, the first finding that a software liability allowed Scattered Canary to fraudulently claim hundreds of millions of dollars in state aid. Washington was able to recover \$357 million of what was lost, but that still left \$243 million missing from the fund. LeVine also had to announce that other fraudsters took \$1.6 million in state aid.

Not only was LeVine the head of the department when these failures occurred, but she allegedly tried to interfere with the audits. The Seattle Times [reported](#) that State Auditor Pat McCarthy said LeVine imposed “significant constraints” on her attempts to audit the ESD. McCarthy said LeVine tried to limit “interviews with key ESD employees and delay[ed] access to important documents.” McCarthy eventually had to threaten to report LeVine’s “management interference” if she was not able to conclude her audit.

LeVine was not fired for the scandal and is instead being rewarded with a position, possibly temporary, in the Biden administration. It should be noted that LeVine donated “hundreds of thousands of dollars to Biden’s 2020 campaign and other ‘Democratic causes’ and served as a deputy national finance chair for the Democratic National Committee with her husband in 2017,” the Federalist reported.

Jen Psaki Faces Backlash For ‘Disgraceful’ Remark Appearing To Mock Branch Of U.S. Military

Ryan Saavedra



Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images

White House Press Secretary Jen Psaki faced backlash on Tuesday after she appeared to mock a branch of the United States Military during a press conference, which sparked calls that she needed to apologize for her “disgraceful” comment.

Psaki was asked about what Democrat President Joe Biden’s plans were regarding the Space Force, including whether he wanted to keep the new military branch.

“Wow. Space Force. It’s the plane of today,” Psaki responded. “It is an interesting question. I am happy to check with our Space Force point of contact. I’m not sure who that is. I will find out and see if we have any update on that.”

Psaki’s comments are an apparent reference to previous questions from reporters about whether the Biden administration would change the colors on Air Force One.

Rep. Mike Rogers (R-AL), the House Armed Services Committee’s top Republican, demanded that Psaki apologized for the remark.

“It’s concerning to see the Biden administration’s press secretary blatantly diminish an entire branch of our military as the punchline of a joke, which I’m sure China would find funny,” Rogers said.

“The Space Force was passed with near unanimous support in Congress, the same type of ‘unity’ President Biden is supposedly working towards. Jen Psaki needs to immediately apologize to the men and women of the Space Force for this disgraceful comment.”

Rep. Michael Waltz (R-FL) also slammed the Biden administration over the remarks, saying that it was a sign that they were not taking the national security threat posed by China seriously.

Waltz said, “This is just another example of the Biden administration not taking China seriously while demeaning the incredible work of Space Force personnel.”

Psaki’s apparent lack of knowledge about what the administration’s plans were on the Space Force comes after a [report](#) was published yesterday that alleged that Biden’s communications team has been reaching out to reporters prior to press conferences to learn about what they are going to ask in advance.

Psaki has quickly become known for ducking out of having to answer questions by saying that she is “going to need to circle back” with whoever is asking the question.

Psaki later issued a short statement in apparent reference to her mocking a branch of the U.S. military. She did not apologize for her remarks in the statement.

Psaki wrote, “We look forward to the continuing work of Space Force and invite the members of the team to come visit us in the briefing room anytime to share an update on their important work.”

Kế hoạch ám sát Trump bị phá vỡ, Chính Phủ Ngâm trong tình trạng hoảng loạn!
Mike Baxter



Vào khoảng 3 giờ sáng thứ Ba, một viên đạn của một tay súng bắn tỉa đã bắn trúng một khung cửa sổ rộng trên bức tường phía đông của phòng ngủ ông Trump tại khu nghỉ ngơi Mar-a-Lago của ông.

Viên đạn có thể đã bắn ngã Trump nếu gần đây ông không thay thế kính của sổ bằng kính chống đạn của công ty Blast Structures International, mà theo trang web của công ty này, có thể ngăn chặn bất cứ loại đạn nào yếu hơn một viên đạn súng đại liên 50.

Một nguồn tin bí mật trong vòng nội bộ của Trump nói với Real Raw News rằng Trump đang ngủ trên giường, và là một người tỉnh ngủ, bị đánh thức bởi tiếng "rạn nứt sắc bén" của tấm kính do viên đạn bắn vào. Dù viên đạn không xuyên qua kính, nhưng gây ra những lằn nứt hình mạng nhện.

Nguồn tin của chúng tôi cho biết, vụ tấn công này đã kích hoạt một hệ thống báo động thầm lặng thông báo ngay lập tức cho Sở Cảnh sát Palm Beach và nhân viên Mật vụ của Trump đang ngủ trong một nhà khách cách tòa nhà chính mười thước. Các đặc vụ đưa Trump đến một phòng an toàn kiên cố và cho biết họ sẽ phối hợp với chính quyền địa phương để tìm ra manh mối.

Nhưng Trump yêu cầu họ gọi cho Sở Cảnh sát Palm Beach và nói rằng đó là một báo động giả.

"Trump đã kiên quyết không để cảnh sát tham gia," nguồn tin của chúng tôi nói.

"Ông ấy nói với nhân viên của mình rằng ông muốn giữ kín chuyện trong vòng gia đình thôi. Không cho Cảnh sát biết. Không để truyền thông hay. Ông ấy rất nghiêm khắc trong việc giữ cho giới truyền thông mù mờ về sự kiện này. Trump đã thực hiện một cuộc gọi điện thoại và trong vòng 30 phút, một số nhân viên rất ngẫu, hẳn không phải là mật vụ, đã đến dinh thự của ông," nguồn tin của chúng tôi cho biết.

Say khi bảo đảm an toàn cho Trump, các nhân viên an ninh của ông ấy đã đi khắp khu đất rộng 20 mẫu Anh để tìm kiếm các dấu hiệu xâm nhập, trong khi nhân viên Sở Mật vụ bắt đầu cuộc điều tra riêng về việc làm thế nào một kẻ được cho là sát thủ lại có thể tiến vào nơi có khoảng cách thích hợp để bắn vào một trong những dinh thự an toàn nhất ở thế giới.

Lúc 7 giờ sáng, một chuyên gia pháp y về đạn đạo từ Quantico đã đến Mar-a-Lago và xác định các mảnh đạn là từ một viên đạn Remington Magnum 7mm. Nguồn tin của chúng tôi cho biết, chuyên viên này cảm thấy đang ở tình thế khó suy đoán.

Viên đạn được bắn ở một góc hướng xuống và, nếu nó xuyên qua kính, sẽ bắn trúng đầu của Trump. Nhưng một cuộc tấn công vào cửa sổ phía đông có nghĩa: Người bắn tỉa đã thực hiện bắn từ một trong hai khu đất: một nơi thiếu địa hình cao, hoặc từ một

nơi nào đó ở khu đất phình ra ở phía bờ Đại Tây Dương, và xem ra không thể thực hiện được.

“Phòng ngủ của Trump cao hơn mặt đất 23 feet. Ngoài tòa nhà chính, không có cấu trúc nào cao hơn. Ông chuyên viên về đạn đạo cho rằng cú bắn chỉ có thể được thực hiện bởi một người nào đó trên một chiếc trực thăng bay lơ lửng cách xa bờ biển hai trăm thước Anh (yards) trở lên. Nếu đúng vậy thì anh xạ thủ đó chắc chắn phải là tay thiện xạ giỏi nhất thế giới. Bắn từ trực thăng và vào ban đêm. Thật điên đầu,” nguồn tin của chúng tôi nói.

Khái niệm bắn tỉa từ trực thăng dường như không thực tế đến mức chuyên viên điều tra đã liên hệ với Trung sĩ Hunter Bernius ở bộ Tham mưu Thủy quân lục chiến, ông này là một trinh sát bắn tỉa và là người giảng viên tại Bộ Thủy quân lục chiến ở Trường Bộ binh ở Geiger, Bắc Carolina.

Không đề cập đến bản chất của cuộc điều tra, chúng tôi hỏi Trung sĩ Bernius về khả năng thực hiện thành công một cú bắn ở khoảng cách 200-400 yard từ một trực thăng bay lơ lửng với ít hoặc không có ánh sáng tự nhiên.

“Chỉ có thể thực hiện sau khi được huấn luyện thích hợp dành riêng cho loại nhiệm vụ bắn tỉa đó. Để bắn chính xác, người bắn phải tính đến độ rung của máy bay cũng như tốc độ và hướng của gió, tất cả gió thổi lên xung quanh anh ta từ các cánh quạt quay ở tốc độ 400 vòng / phút. Sau đó, có một tá yếu tố khác. Phải mất nhiều tháng đào tạo từ một giảng viên có trình độ và vẫn không có gì đảm bảo. Bắn tỉa trên không là một kỹ năng đặc biệt của riêng nó,” Trung sĩ Bernius nói.

Đến trưa, Sở Mật vụ đã phong vấn kín đảo tắt cả những người có bất động sản quanh vùng, nhưng không ai báo cáo rằng đã nhìn thấy hoặc nghe thấy trực thăng.

Theo nguồn tin của chúng tôi, những người của Trump nghi ngờ cuộc tấn công được thực hiện bởi Deep State, để ngăn Trump thu thập bằng chứng buộc tội, mà nếu được công bố, những bằng chứng này có thể khiến mọi đảng viên Dân chủ chìm xuống, từ cấp cao như Joe Biden cho đến tên đảng viên nhỏ tuổi nhất của đảng Dân chủ.

Biden Takes Heat For Killing Trump's Opioid-Treatment Prescription Plan Praised By Doctors

Trump's Assistant Secretary for Health Dr. Brett Giroir warned the Biden reversal "will cost thousands of lives."

Amanda Prestigiacomio



Doug Mills-Pool/Getty Images

President Joe Biden last week killed a plan from the Trump administration to allow more physicians to prescribe an opioid-treatment drug.

The move from the Trump administration was widely praised by both physicians and lawmakers, and came on the heels of another spike in opioid-related deaths during the pandemic.

Criticizing the Biden administration on the reversal, Trump's Assistant Secretary for Health Dr. Brett Giroir warned the move "will cost thousands of lives."

In a message [obtained](#) by The Washington Post last week, the Biden administration said Trump's forthcoming Practice Guidelines for the Administration of Buprenorphine for Treating Opioid Use Disorder issued on Jan. 14 was announced "prematurely." "Therefore," team Biden said, "the Guidelines previously announced cannot be issued at this time."

According to anonymous sources within the Biden team, Trump's plan "was plagued by legal and operational problems, including a failure to get necessary clearance from the White House budget office," the Post reported.

"The Biden-Harris administration absolutely supports broader access to medication-based treatment for opioid use disorder, and is working to find ways to lift burdensome restrictions on medications for opioid use disorder treatment," said a spokesperson from Biden's drug policy office.

Trump's plan "would have exempted many physicians from the 'X' waiver — a two-decade-old requirement, first mandated by Congress, to undergo a day's training

before they could prescribe buprenorphine for opioid use disorder,” the Post explained.

“The Trump administration sought to go around Congress’s requirements by issuing new ‘clinical guidelines’ that would have enabled doctors with a Drug Enforcement Administration narcotics prescribing license to avoid the training.”

The Post underscored that Trump’s plan “was widely hailed by physicians,” noting that the head of the American College for Emergency Physicians Mark Rosenberg deemed the accomplishment “a great day for our patients.”

“The X-waiver was an outdated and cumbersome barrier to treatment, and it exacerbated stigma for those struggling with opioid use disorder,” said a statement from Rosenberg.

“The Biden administration has been criticized by physicians and lawmakers since reports that the new president would halt Trump’s plan — particularly because as a candidate, Biden had criticized the prescribing rules and vowed to lift them if elected,” noted the Post.

Giroir on Thursday took to Twitter to blast the Biden reversal, warning it “will cost thousands of lives.”

“This [POTUS] decision [...] means a tragic day for all suffering with [#opioid](#) use disorder, and will cost thousands of lives. The [HHS] practice guidelines stemmed from 2 years of evidence examination, science, legal review, and stakeholder engagement,” Giroir wrote.

Biden Education Sec On Women’s School Sports: We Have A ‘Legal Responsibility’ To Include Transgender Athletes

Chrissy Clark



Joshua Roberts/Getty Images

Joe Biden's education secretary pick said that he believes schools have a "legal responsibility" to include transgender men in women's sports.

During his Senate confirmation hearing, incoming Education Secretary Miguel Cardona was asked by Sen. Rand Paul (R-KY) whether he believes it is fair to have transgender boys participate in girls' sports. Cardona affirmed that transgender men should have access to biological women's sports.

"I think that it's critically important that the education system and educators respect the rights of all students, including students who are transgender, and that they are afforded the opportunities that every other student has to participate in extracurricular activities," Cardona said.

"I think it's the legal responsibility of schools to provide opportunities for students to participate in activities, and this includes students who are transgender," he continued.

During the confirmation hearing, Paul told Cardona that he found his position on biological men competing in women's sports to be "bizarre" to most Americans.

"A lot of us think that that's bizarre, not very fair," Paul said, later adding: "Frankly, some boy that's six-foot-two competing against my five-foot-four niece doesn't sound very fair. I think most people in the country think it's bizarre."

President Biden already issued an executive order calling on schools to allow transgender athletes to compete in sports based off the gender with which they identify rather than their biological sex.

The order contradicts the Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights Title IX interpretation that such rules deny women equal athletic opportunities and violate federal law.

The Department of Education ruling came after four female track athletes from Connecticut — Cardona's home state — challenged the Connecticut Interscholastic Athletic Conference rules that allow transgender males to compete against biological females.

According to [The Daily Signal](#), the lawyers representing the female athletes believe the Connecticut rule violates sex discrimination laws, which mandate that schools treat male and female athletes equally.

Cardona has taken far-left stances in education in his previous capacities. According to [The Washington Free Beacon](#), as Connecticut commissioner of education, Cardona helped create and institute a mandated, statewide minority-studies course rooted in critical race theory. The course will be required for all high school students in Connecticut.

The finalized [curriculum](#), which was approved by Cardona, includes readings about the Black Lives Matter movement and asks students what minority experiences "reveal about the United States, its foundation, and how power is structured today."

Report: AOC Was Not Inside Capitol Building During Breach; AOC Responds: Report 'Manipulative'

Amanda Prestigiacomo

Newsweek issues correction after GOP Rep slams them for fanning "fictitious news flames."



Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images

Republican Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC), whose office is two doors down from Democratic Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, noted that "insurrectionists" never made it to their hall when the U.S. Capitol building was breached on Jan. 6.

Mace made the note while hitting the media for their attempts to "fan fictitious news flames" about the breach.

On Jan. 6, Mace [tweeted](#) that she left her office in the Cannon Building, still inside the Capitol complex but some distance from the Capitol Rotunda and House Chambers: "Just evacuated my office in Cannon due to a nearby threat," she wrote.

"Now we're seeing protesters assaulting Capitol Police."

RedState [reported](#):

AOC wasn't even in the Capitol building where all the action was going down. If she was in her office, she was in the Cannon Building which is nearby, but a different building. But of course, many didn't get the logistics and just assumed that she was in the Capitol building.

According to Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC), who has an office in the same hall as AOC, two doors away, there were never any rioters in their hall so there was never any physical danger from rioters coming in at any point.

"[Ocasio-Cortez] made clear she didn't know who was at her door," the Republican wrote, captioning a post from Newsweek. "Breathless attempts by media to fan fictitious news flames are dangerous."

"My office is 2 doors down," Mace underscored. "Insurrectionists never stormed our hallway. Egregious doesn't even begin to cover it. Is there nothing MSM (mainstream media) won't politicize?"

Reporting on AOC's recollection of the events on Jan. 6, Newsweek [reported](#): Ocasio-Cortez said that rioters actually entered her office, forcing her to take refuge inside her bathroom after her legislative director Geraldo Bonilla-Chavez told her to "hide, hide, run and hide."

"And so I run back into my office," Ocasio-Cortez said. "I slam my door. There's another kind of like back area to my office, and I open it, and there's a closet and a bathroom. And I jump into my bathroom."

Ocasio-Cortez said [she] was hiding behind the door "and then I just start to hear these yells of, 'Where is she?'"

As members of the mob banged against the door, Ocasio-Cortez believed "this was the moment where I thought everything was over."

"And the weird thing about moments like these is that you lose all sense of time,"

Ocasio-Cortez continued. "In retrospect, maybe it was 4 seconds. Maybe it was 5 seconds, maybe it was 10 seconds. Maybe it was one second, I don't know. It felt like my brain was able to have so many thoughts."

"In between the screams and the yells," Ocasio-Cortez added, "I mean, I thought I was going to die."

Mace argued that Newsweek was misleading in how they categorized AOC's own account in an effort to "fan fictitious news flames."

After Rep. Mace criticized Newsweek's coverage, the outlet issued a correction to amend the description of Ocasio-Cortez's account as follows: "Ocasio-Cortez said that an individual actually entered her office, forcing her to take refuge inside her bathroom after her legislative director Geraldo Bonilla-Chavez told her to 'hide, hide, run and hide.'"

Newsweek's correction note reads: "A previous version of this story stated that Ocasio-Cortez's office was entered by rioters. Ocasio-Cortez's office was actually entered by a Capitol police officer that did not identify himself. Newsweek regrets the error."

On Monday night, via Instagram, AOC [recounted](#) the events on Jan. 6.

"All of a sudden I hear, boom, boom, boom, boom on my door," the congresswoman said.

"And then I hear these huge violent bangs on my door, and then on every door going into my office. Just, bang bang, bang, bang, bang, bang, bang. Like, someone was

trying to break the door down. And um, there were no voices; there were no yells ... no one identifying themselves. And just, boom, boom, boom. And I just get up ... and I run over to the legislative office.”

Ocasio-Cortez then ran into her bathroom, before deciding she should have hidden in her closet. On her way to move to the closet, she recalled: “I start opening the door to my bathroom, and I’m gonna run across to the closet. ... And I hear that whoever was trying to get inside, got into my office.

And then I realize that it’s too late for me to get into the closet. So I go back in, I hide back in ... and then I just start to hear these yells of, ‘Where is she? Where is she?’ And I just thought to myself, they got inside.”

“And so, I hide behind my door. ... This was the moment where I thought everything was over.”

After breaking down in tears reflecting on her life, AOC went back to the events on Jan. 6 and said she saw a “white man in a black beanie” who she later revealed to be a Capitol Police officer.

After her staffer told her to come out, AOC said she was “so deeply rattled” and was “still processing the end of my life.”

On Wednesday, Ocasio-Cortez responded to RedState’s “take” on her whereabouts during the Capitol breach, calling the report “manipulative.”

“This is the latest manipulative take on the right,” she wrote. “They are manipulating the fact that most people don’t know the layout the Capitol complex. We were all on the Capitol complex – the attack wasn’t just on the dome. The bombs Trump supporters planted surrounded our offices too.”

There were pipe bombs [discovered](#) at the headquarters of the Republican National Committee and the Democratic National Committee in D.C. on Jan. 6, but it is unclear who planted them. There is a hefty reward out from the F.B.I. for information leading to the suspect.

“People were trying to rush and infiltrate our office buildings – that’s why we had to get evacuated in the first place. The attempts of attackers & publicly available communications show how they tried to gain access and share location info on finding members for physical harm,” the congresswoman said.

As she did earlier in the week, Ocasio-Cortez portrayed pushback against her characterization of events as [“tactics of abusers.”](#)


“It is also very damning and revealing that the GOP is now digging both heels in a discrediting campaign,” she wrote. “It’s because they know they are implicated, so they’re pivoting to (again) the classic abuse playbook of ‘it’s not as bad as they say.’ It was that bad. It’s actually worse.”

This article has been updated to include Ocasio-Cortez’s response to the RedState report and to include Newsweek’s correction to the report highlighted by Rep. Mace.

Pentagon Orders Military-Wide 'Stand-Down' To Address 'White Supremacy,' Extremism In Ranks

Amanda Prestigiacomio - Dailywire.com

"There may be cultural issues we have to deal with here."



Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images

U. S. Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin has ordered all branches of the military to enact a "stand down" within the next 60 days so they can address supposed internal threats posed by white supremacy and other forms of extremism.

"The Pentagon on Wednesday said it was still uncertain how to grapple with the problem of extremism in its ranks and announced a military-wide pause to allow troops and commanders a chance to focus on the issue," NBC News reported Wednesday.

"Lloyd Austin, the first Black secretary of defense who recently took over at the Pentagon, ordered each branch of the military to stand-down at some point over the next 60 days to discuss the threat posed by white supremacy and similar extremism, said Pentagon press secretary John Kirby."

Austin gave the order on Wednesday during a meeting with the leaders of each military branch, including Chairman of the Joint Chiefs Gen. Mark Milley and Vice Chairman Gen. John Hyten. "There wasn't one being in the room that didn't agree that there wasn't a problem," Pentagon press secretary John Kirby said.

Announcing the pause to the press, Kirby said the breach on the U.S. Capitol on Jan. 6 served as "a wake-up call" for the Department of Defense.

"Current and former members of the military took part in the siege, and the Pentagon is under scrutiny over how it vets recruits and tracks extremism within the ranks," NBC reported.

“We don’t know how we’re going to be able to get after this in a meaningful, productive, tangible way and that is why he had this meeting today and that is why he certainly ordered this stand-down,” Kirby told the media, adding, “There may be cultural issues we have to deal with here.”

A staggering 25,000 troops from across the nation were ordered to Washington, D.C., to secure the inauguration of President Joe Biden on Jan. 20. The troops, as The Washington Post noted, were screened repeatedly for extremism.

Republican Gov. Greg Abbott (TX) ripped into the vetting, likening the process to “loyalty” screenings. This is the most offensive thing I’ve ever heard,” Abbott said.

“No one should ever question the loyalty or professionalism of the Texas National Guard.”

Notably, service members are already screened for ties to extremism or other potential red flags. Thus, the additional round of screenings seemed to have been excessive and perhaps linked to suspicion directed at supporters of President Donald Trump after the Capitol riot. The Associated Press, for example, [named supporters of the president as potential threats](#) to a Biden inauguration.

The AP report added that U.S. defense officials said they were conducting the vetting process out of concern about an “insider attack or other threat” from service members involved in securing the inauguration.

The “threats against Biden’s inauguration,” AP underscored, “have been fueled by supporters of President Donald Trump, far-right militants, white supremacists and other radical groups”:

Insider threats have been a persistent law enforcement priority in the years after the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks. But in most cases, the threats are from homegrown insurgents radicalized by al-Qaida, the Islamic State group or similar groups.

In contrast, the threats against Biden’s inauguration have been fueled by supporters of President Donald Trump, far-right militants, white supremacists and other radical groups.

Many believe Trump’s baseless accusations that the election was stolen from him, a claim that has been refuted by many courts, the Justice Department and Republican officials in key battleground states.

Austin pledged during his confirmation hearings that he would “rid our ranks of racists and extremists,” The New York Post [noted](#).

“We also owe our people a working environment free of discrimination, hate and harassment. If confirmed, I will fight hard to stamp out sexual assault, to rid our ranks of racists and extremists, and to create a climate where everyone fit and willing has the opportunity to serve this country with dignity,” Austin said during the confirmation process.

“The job of the Department of Defense is to keep America safe from our enemies. But we can’t do that if some of those enemies lie within our own ranks,” he said.

Kirby noted Wednesday, “The vast majority of men and women who serve in uniform and the military are doing so with honor, integrity and character, and do not espouse the sorts of beliefs that lead to the kind of conduct that can be so detrimental to good order and discipline and in fact is criminal.”

***Attorneys For Trailing Democrat Candidate Claim Voting Machine Count ‘Errors’
A GOP win would reduce Dem majority in House to single digits***

Hank Berrien



Drew Angerer/Getty Images

In a “Memorandum in Support of Order to Show Cause” filed on Monday, attorneys representing a Democratic congressional candidate, who appears to have lost by a razor-thin margin to his Republican opponent, call for a hand audit of the votes, citing “mounting evidence of significant irregularities in the tabulation of ballots.”

In particular, the attorneys point to the “likelihood of a material discrepancy” between a manual audit tally and a “voting machine or system tally” as rationale for ordering the hand count.

In the [memorandum](#), filed with the Supreme Court of the State of New York by attorneys representing the efforts of former incumbent Democrat Rep. Anthony Brindisi — who appears to have lost his seat in New York’s 22nd congressional district to GOP candidate Claudia Tenney — the attorneys argue, “There has also been mounting evidence of significant irregularities in the tabulation of ballots.

Because the candidates are currently divided by a vote count of a mere 122 ballots as of this morning, these errors and irregularities threaten to deny the voters the election of their candidate of choice.”

The current makeup of the House is comprised of 221 Democrats and 211 Republicans, with three seats still vacant.

In Louisiana, Julia Letlow, the widow of GOP Representative-elect Luke Letlow, who died of complications from COVID-19 only days before he was to be sworn in, will run in a special election on March 10. Democratic Rep. Cedrick Richmond of Louisiana resigned on Jan. 15 to join the Biden administration; his seat will likely be filled by a Democrat.

Thus, if Letlow and Tenney win their seats, the GOP will trail in the House, 222-213, a difference of just nine votes. That would mean they would only need to win a difference of five seats in 2022 to take over the House.

The attorneys state in the memorandum:

The General Election for the public office of member of Congress, 22nd District of New York occurred on November 3, 2020. Since then, substantial errors and irregularities in the conduct of the election have come to light. As these proceedings have continued, that evidence has only mounted. In addition to failures to follow New York's election law, particularly by Respondent the Oneida County Board of Elections ("Oneida County"), [...] there has also been mounting evidence of significant irregularities in the tabulation of ballots. Because the candidates are currently divided by a vote count of a mere 122 ballots as of this morning, these errors and irregularities threaten to deny the voters the election of their candidate of choice.

As a result, a hand audit is warranted under New York law and should be immediately ordered. Specifically, and in the language of the statute, there is substantial evidence which "indicates that there is a likelihood of a material discrepancy between such manual audit tally and such voting machine or system tally, or a discrepancy as defined in subdivision three of section 9-208 of this chapter, which creates a substantial possibility that the winner of the election as reflected in the voting machine or system tally could change if a voter verifiable record audit of additional voting machines or systems or of all voting machines or systems applicable to such election were conducted."

The Utica Observer-Dispatch [reported on Tuesday](#), "Republican Claudia Tenney leads Democrat Anthony Brindisi by 125 votes in a rematch of the 2018 election. Five county boards of elections in the district recorded final tallies to state Supreme Court Justice Scott DelConte on Tuesday, while Cortland County updated its results on its website. Results from Herkimer County were not posted Tuesday. While Oneida County is temporarily barred from certifying its results by DelConte, it wasn't due to any error, but to prevent final certification of the race."

The memorandum attempts to buttress its case by citing various discrepancies, including below:

On December 21, 2020, the manual tabulation at the table resulted in 33 votes for Petitioner Claudia Tenney ("Tenney"), 49 votes for Cross-Petitioner Representative Anthony Brindisi ("Brindisi"), and 3 "undervotes," but the machine tabulation resulted in 33 votes for Tenney, 47 votes for Brindisi, and 5 "undervotes."

On December 22, 2020, the manual tabulation at the table resulted in 92 votes for Tenney, 125 votes for Brindisi, and 11 “undervotes,” but the machine tabulation resulted in 91 votes for Tenney, 122 votes for Brindisi, and 15 “undervotes.”

On December 28, 2020, the manual tabulation at the table resulted in 103 votes for Tenney, 50 votes for Brindisi, and 7 “undervotes,” but the machine tabulation resulted in 103 votes for Tenney, 49 votes for Brindisi, and 8 “undervotes.”

On January 27, 2021, the manual tabulation at the table resulted in 133 votes for Tenney, 40 votes for Brindisi, and 8 “undervotes,” but the machine tabulation resulted in 133 votes for Tenney, 39 votes for Brindisi, and 9 “undervotes.” (MacIntosh Aff. ¶ 13).

On January 28, 2021, the manual tabulation at the table resulted in 77 votes for Tenney, 72 votes for Brindisi, and 7 “undervotes,” but the machine tabulation resulted in 77 votes for Tenney, 71 votes for Brindisi, and 8 “undervotes.” (In addition, on December 22, 23, 28, and 29, and again on January 27, 28, and 29 of Oneida’s second and third canvasses, a total of 52 ballots were tabulated by hand, these hand counted ballots were then added to the machine tabulated totals.

All told, there was a discrepancy of nine changed votes during the second and third canvasses of Oneida’s administratively rejected affidavit and absentee ballots alone. Oneida County counted 1,127 ballots during the course of its second and third recanvasses.

The memorandum continues:

The nine-vote change yields an error rate of 0.8%. There were 325,548 votes cast in total in the race for New York’s 22 Congressional District. Applying the same error rate to 325,548 ballots, there may have been as many as 2,599 votes that the machines did not read.

Even if the problem was with Oneida County tabulation machines only, of the around 100,000 ballots cast in Oneida, there may have been 800 votes that the Oneida County machines failed to read alone. That figure far exceeds the margin between the two candidates in this race, and based on this small sample size at least, appears to disproportionately affect Brindisi.

The memorandum concludes:

Given the above evidence of material discrepancies in vote tallies that potentially affect hundreds of ballots, and the hundreds of ballots preserved for this Court’s review and review by appellate courts, the portion of the Court’s order directing County Boards to certify election results should be stayed until a hand audit can be conducted and appeals resolved.

Bank Of America 'Is Without The Knowledge Or The Consent' Of Customers 'Sharing Private' Info With Feds, Tucker Carlson Reports

Ryan Saavedra



Robert Alexander/Getty Images

Fox News host Tucker Carlson reported exclusively on Thursday night that Bank of America is allegedly turning over private information about its customers to federal law enforcement officials — without the knowledge or consent of its customers — in an apparent effort to identify those who participated in the January 6 riot at the U.S. Capitol Building.

Carlson led off the segment by talking about how the Biden administration and law enforcement has stated that they want to go after domestic “extremists,” but have not laid out a clear definition of what qualifies as an “extremist.”

“What exactly are they doing?” Carlson asked.

“How are they hunting these extremists they keep telling us about, but will not describe?”

“We now know part of the answer to that question,” Carlson said.

“This show has obtained exclusively evidence that Bank of America, the second largest bank in the country with more than 60 million customers, is actively but secretly engaged in the hunt for extremists in cooperation with the government.”

“Bank of America is, without the knowledge or the consent of its customers, sharing private information with federal law enforcement agencies,” Carlson continued.

“Bank of American effectively is acting as an intelligence agency, but they’re not telling you about it. In the days after the January 6 riot at the Capitol, Bank of America went through its own customers’ financial and transaction records ... these were the private records of Americans who had committed no crime, people, who as

far as we know, had absolutely nothing to do with what happened at the Capitol on January 6.”

“But at the request of federal investigators, Bank of America searched its databases looking for people who fit a specific profile,” Carlson continued.

“Here’s what that profile was, and we are quoting, ‘customers confirmed as transacting either through bank account, debit card, or credit card purchases in Washington, D.C., between January 5 and January 6. Number two, purchases made for hotels, Airbnb, RSVPs, in Washington, Virginia, or Maryland after January 6. Number three, any purchase of weapons or at a weapons-related merchant between January 7 and their upcoming suspected stay in the D.C. area around Inauguration Day. And four, airline-related purchases since January 6.”

“So what do you notice about that profile? Well, the first thing you notice is that it’s remarkably broad,” Carlson said.

“Any purchases of anything in the city of Washington, D.C., any overnight state anywhere in the three state area, that spans hundreds of miles, any purchase, not simply of legal firearms, but instead anything bought from a ‘weapons related merchant,’ t-shirts included, and then any airline related purchases, not just flights to Washington, flights to anywhere — to Omaha, to Thailand.”

“Bank of America identified a total of 211 customers who met these ‘thresholds of interest,’” he continued.

“And it was at that point, the show has learned, Bank of America turned over the results of its internal scan to federal authorities, apparently without notifying the customers who were being spied upon.

Federal investigators then interviewed at least one of these unsuspecting people, and that person, we’ve learned, hadn’t done anything wrong, and in the end, was cleared. Imagine if you were that person.

The FBI hauls you in for questioning in a terror investigation, not because you’ve done anything suspicious — you haven’t — you bought plane tickets and visited your country’s capital, you thought you could do that, you thought it was your country. Now they’re sweating you because your bank, which you trust with your most private information, information of everything you buy, has ratted you out to the feds without telling you, without your knowledge.”

“Because Bank of America did that, you’re being treated like a member of al-Qaeda,” he continued.

“What country is this? It doesn’t matter how much you despise Donald Trump, or how much you believe that hatred of Trump justifies suspending this country’s ancient civil liberties. Going through that experience would scare the hell out of you. Absolutely. A terror suspect, you would think? Does anyone else know about this? Is there a record of this interview? Will I lose my job because of it? That actually happened to someone. It’s hard to believe it, but it did. We asked Bank of America about this. They confirmed it actually happened by not denying it.”

Carlson then read off a statement from Bank of America:

We don't comment on our communications with law enforcement. All banks have responsibilities under federal law to cooperate with law enforcement inquiries in full compliance with the law.

"Now the last part, from the lawyers perspective, is the essence — in full compliance with the law. It's the law; we had no choice. But that's not true. Bank of America did have a choice. The bank could have resisted turning over information on its innocent customers to federal investigators.

But Bank of America did not do that. Nor is it clear, if we're going to be precise about it, that what Bank of America did is even legal. It turns out it's not simple. It's a gray area," Carlson continued. "We spoke to a number of lawyers about this today, [some of them] told us that what Bank of America did might in fact not be legal, and could in fact be challenged in court."

Marjorie Taylor Greene Removed From All Her Committees, Multiple Republicans Vote To Remove Her

Ryan Saavedra



ANDREW CABALLERO-REYNOLDS/AFP via Getty Images

The House of Representatives voted to remove Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-GA) from her committee assignments on Thursday following a range of controversial remarks that she made in the past while embracing debunked conspiracy theories.

Greene was removed from her assignments following a 230-199 vote where 11 Republicans broke ranks and voted with Democrats.

The vote came after Greene renounced some the incendiary remarks that she made in the past during a 10-minute speech on the House floor.

“These were words of the past, and these things do not represent me, they do not represent my district, and they do not represent my values,” she said.

Of the 11 Republicans who voted to remove Greene, three were members of her freshman class, including Reps. Carlos Gimenez (FL), Young Kim (CA), and Nicole Malliotakis (NY). The other eight Republicans were Reps. Chris Jacobs (NY), John Katko (NY), Adam Kinzinger (IL), Mario Diaz-Balart (FL), Chris Smith (NJ), Fred Upton (MI), and Brian Fitzpatrick (PA).

“Typically, party leadership decides the committee slots for their own members. [House Minority Leader Kevin] McCarthy, for instance, in 2019 decided to remove former Rep. Steve King, R-Iowa, from committee assignments following his remarks panned as racist. McCarthy, however, declined to take action against Greene, saying she has denounced QAnon and will abide by a higher standard as a member of Congress,” Fox News [reported](#).

“Greene defended herself in a floor speech earlier Thursday where she said she regretted her past statements on QAnon conspiracy theories and made clear she thought that the 9/11 terrorist attacks and school shootings were not a hoax.”

McCarthy issued a statement on Wednesday slamming Greene’s past comments and also highlighted some of the egregious actions that elected Democrats have engaged in who still have their committee assignments.

McCarthy [said](#):

Past comments from and endorsed by Marjorie Taylor Greene on school shootings, political violence, and anti-Semitic conspiracy theories do not represent the values or beliefs of the House Republican Conference.

I condemn those comments unequivocally.

I condemned them in the past.

I continue to condemn them today.

This House condemned QAnon last Congress and continues to do so today.

I made this clear to Marjorie when we met. I also made clear that as a member of Congress we have a responsibility to hold ourselves to a higher standard than how she presented herself as a private citizen.

Her past comments now have much greater meaning. Marjorie recognized this in our conversation. I hold her to her word, as well as her actions going forward.

I understand that Marjorie’s comments have caused deep wounds to many and as a result, I offered Majority Leader Hoyer a path to lower the temperature and address these concerns.

Instead of coming together to do that, the Democrats are choosing to raise the temperature by taking the unprecedented step to further their partisan power grab regarding the committee assignments of the other party.

While Democrats pursue a resolution on Congresswoman Greene, they continue to do nothing about Democrats serving on the Foreign Affairs Committee who have spread anti-Semitic tropes, Democrats on the House Intelligence and Homeland Security Committee compromised by Chinese spies, or the Chairwoman of the House Financial Services Committee who advocated for violence against public servants.

In the end, this resolution continues to distract Congress, especially given the limited time that Speaker Pelosi and the Democrat leadership want the House to debate and

work, on what it needs to focus on: getting Americans back to work, getting kids back to school, and providing vaccines to all Americans who need it.

CRENSHAW: The Lie Of ‘Systemic Police Racism’ That Kills
Rep. Dan Crenshaw



Michael B. Thomas/Getty Images

Four years ago, five Dallas Police officers lost their lives after they were targeted simply for wearing the uniform.

Last September, two officers were shot in the face at point blank range for the same reason. One of them was a young mother.

During the months of violent riots that one Democrat politician called the “[summer of love](#),” [more than 2,000](#) officers were injured. By July of last year, [officer deaths had risen 28 percent](#) compared to the previous year.

There have long been violent encounters between police officers and criminals. [Just this week](#), two FBI agents were killed and three were injured after a standoff with a suspect in Florida, yet another reminder of the deadly encounters law enforcement agents experience every day.

However, what we witnessed this summer was something new, and far more sinister. This recent wave of violence is the result of a pervasive, disturbing, and ultimately false narrative: the institution of policing itself is corrupt and systemically racist.

This narrative is repeated both casually and often by leaders of Black Lives Matter and Democrat politicians alike. Joe Biden [stated](#), “For too long, black Americans have lived with a knee on their neck,” in reference to police officers, Kamala

Harris *said* that there is an “unacceptable incidence for generations of unarmed black men being killed” by police, and a spokesperson for President Biden’s State Department *said* that “the largest threat to U.S. national security are U.S. cops. Not ISIS, not Russian hackers, not anyone or anything else.”

Slogans such as “All Cops are Bastards” are chanted at “peaceful” demonstrations, and the claim that black men are being hunted and killed in the streets is promulgated, accepted without question as undeniable fact.

It is unclear whether the public figures pushing these extreme narratives know — or even care — that they are inflaming the rhetoric which ultimately leads to tragedies like that of Dallas, Los Angeles, and elsewhere.

If challenged, they will often backtrack by discussing the underlying problems of poverty and crime in the black community, and the inhumanity of specific injustices such as the abhorrent killing of George Floyd.

This is all, of course, true and worth addressing in a rational manner. No one would argue that police officers have always acted perfectly, that there aren’t bad apples, or that certain reforms should be pursued. Why reforms could not make it through Congress is *another story*.

But here’s the problem: if you’re telling people that police are trying to kill them, and that the entire institution of law enforcement is itself systemically racist and oppressive, it should come as no surprise that some people will act upon such an apparent threat.

After all, wouldn’t you? If you truly believed it, then violently fighting back would appear to be your only recourse. This also explains the utterly bizarre instances this summer of white activists *screaming* in the faces of black police officers, telling them they were “part of the problem.”

The Black Lives Matter movement has effectively subjugated the cause of black lives in order to achieve their primary goal of labeling all police as inherently evil.

Words matter. The word “systemic” actually means something, and should not be thrown around casually or carelessly. It means that racism is inherent to the system, thus implicating every officer that wears the badge. It is also used to conclude that any negative incident must be the result of racism, and any context, circumstances or facts that counter that narrative must be ignored.

This narrative creates an emotionally compelling but also unsolvable problem. The conversation rarely, if ever, moves in search of a solution. The word “systemic” is used, but never clearly defined as it might relate to a law, policy, or practice.

Disparities in arrest rates or police interactions are offered up as proof of systemic problems, while ignoring all other factors or statistical context. Its intentional vagueness makes the supposed underlying problem unsolvable, and the demands more and more extreme.

Thus, radical slogans like “defund the police” transform into actual policy in major cities.

The results of these narratives are undeniably awful. Communities have been destroyed, citizens have been killed, and cops have been hunted down and assassinated.

*The voice of the black community — where **81 percent** polled want the same or greater police presence in their community — has been silenced by the extremists. Crime has spiked in major cities as left-wing District Attorneys refuse to enforce the law, all in the name of social justice.*

In the end, no justice is served. It simply means that criminals are free to prey on the innocent, overwhelmingly in minority communities. While radical white liberals spread these vicious lies, fostering bitter resentment and hatred, the communities that rely on good policing the most are left to suffer.

This is the deadly tragedy of the lies being told, and it must stop.

Fury in India after Greta Thunberg appears to share a little too much info on protests she helped promote

Vivek Saxena



*Young **climate change zealot** Greta Thunberg is facing the wrath of Indian police officers and Indian citizens alike for butting into their affairs.*

The controversy began Wednesday when she published a “toolkit” to Twitter to help activists support ongoing farmer protests in India.

That in itself was already an overstep, according to many Indians, given that a Swedish 18-year-old is thought to have no business involving herself in their country’s affairs.

What made it worse was the discovery that Thunberg had accidentally embedded in the toolkit a script of ‘how-to’ instructions directed at her on how to mobilize the public in support of the farmer’s protests.

This discovery was made and shared by Republic TV, an Indian news channel. After being exposed, Thunberg deleted her tweet.

What made the discovery so offensive to Indians was that it proved that Thunberg’s interest in the farmers’ protests wasn’t organic — rather, it was part of a larger “propaganda campaign” that’s being applied “to undermine India.”

“The point by point instructional nature of the deleted ‘toolkit’ indicate that a well-funded and well-planned propaganda campaign has been at work to undermine India. The ‘toolkit’ establishes there was nothing organic about the protests,” Republic TV explained.

The discovery further proved that the deadly riots that erupted in India on Jan. 26th, which was supposed to be the holiday Republic Day, also weren’t organic.

“The 6-page document shared by Greta Thunberg seems to have been in circulation since early January as it includes plans to intensify the protests on January 26, on the occasion of Republic Day, when violence broke out on the streets of the national capital,” Republic TV reported.

“The document seeks ‘on-ground action’ by exhorting people across the globe to protest outside the closest Indian embassy in their region and share pictures of the same.”

*Combined, these findings have inspired the rage of India, with Indians of all stripes tweeting the hashtags #IndiaAgainstPropagana and #IndiaTogether against Thunberg and others, including **terrorist-sympathizing singer** Rihanna, who’ve been tweeting anti-Indian propaganda.*

Look, and take note of the blue check marks:

The shared anger grew so great that the Delhi Police, the law enforcement agency for the nation’s capital, allegedly filed criminal charges against Thunberg.

*“Delhi Police have registered a case against Swedish teen climate campaigner Greta Thunberg under Sections 120B and 153-A of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) on charges of “criminal conspiracy and promoting enmity,” The Tribune, an Indian newspaper, **claimed**.*

“According to the sources the charges that the young activist now faces include ‘conspiracy’ and ‘promoting enmity between different groups on grounds of religion, race, place of birth, residence, language... and acts prejudicial to maintenance of harmony,’” the report noted.

However, other sources have claimed that the charges are aimed at the producers of the toolkit, not Thunberg herself.

What’s known is that the climate change zealot deleted her original toolkit tweet and replaced it with a new toolkit that doesn’t contain the revealing instructions to her:

Moreover, she dismissed the Indian people’s outrage by portraying herself as a victim of “hate.”

Indians did not respond kindly to her bad attitude.